

# CPA PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE REQUIREMENTS

## Does my job qualify to start reporting in the Experience Verification Route (EVR)?

The qualifying period of practical experience can **begin** when three conditions are met in the Practical Experience Reporting Tool (PERT):

1. Your current position has been approved in PERT, via a pre-assessment. To be approved, your position must demonstrate your ability to gain experience in at least one technical [sub-competency](#) to at least a Level 1 proficiency (see chart below).
2. You are registered as a student with CPA Ontario;
3. You have a qualified mentor, as outlined in section 2.7 of the [Practical Experience Requirements](#) and have added the mentor to your PERT profile.

The competency statements by proficiency level, along with illustrative examples, have been provided to aid students in self-assessing whether their current job, or a prospective one, will develop at least one technical competency sub-area to at least a Level 1 proficiency.

**Note:** To understand all of the Practical Experience Requirements, including competencies, appropriate supervision, mentorship, reporting and assessments, please visit our [Practical Experience page](#).

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis); Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<b>Financial Reporting</b>			
Financial reporting needs and systems (FR1)	<b>Evaluate</b> financial reporting information required by external stakeholders, including regulatory requirements (can include specialized financial reporting requirements); <b>plus</b> (a) Evaluate the appropriateness of the basis of financial reporting; <b>or</b> (b) <b>Evaluate</b> reporting systems, data requirements or business processes to support reliable financial reporting.	<b>Analyze</b> the financial reporting information required by external stakeholders, including regulatory requirements (can include specialized financial reporting requirements); <b>plus</b> (a) <b>Analyze</b> the appropriateness of the basis of financial reporting; <b>or</b> (b) <b>Analyze</b> reporting systems, data requirements or business processes to support reliable financial reporting .	Use the accounting system to process transactions and/or generate reports. Verify mathematical accuracy of financial information (sub-totals, totals).  Perform simple reconciliations.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Identify the financial reporting needs of various stakeholders (other departments, Board of Directors, banks, shareholders, audit committee etc.); <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Evaluate the Company's information system(s) for accuracy and ensure content meets the stakeholders' needs — recommend changes if needed.</i>	<i>Explain the financial reporting needs of some stakeholders (other departments, Board of Directors, banks, shareholders, audit committee etc.); <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Identify the basis of financial reporting (International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), Accounting Standards for Private Enterprises (ASPE), Not-for-Profit Accounting or Public Sector) and analyze why it was chosen.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Identify the needs of clients vs. those of internal and external users, legal and regulatory requirements, type of entity; <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Evaluate financial reporting process used to prepare the entity's financial statements, including significant accounting estimates and disclosures (such as analytical review and understanding internal control processes).</i>	<i>Explain the financial reporting needs of some stakeholders (other departments, Board of Directors, banks, shareholders, audit committee etc.); <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Identify the basis of financial reporting (International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), Accounting Standards for Private Enterprises (ASPE), Not-for-Profit Accounting or Public Sector) and analyze why it was chosen.</i>	
Accounting policies and transactions (FR2)	<b>Evaluate</b> appropriate accounting policies and procedures; <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> treatment for routine and non-routine transactions; <b>or</b>  <b>Research and evaluate</b> treatment for complex events/ transactions.	<b>Research</b> the appropriate accounting policies and procedures (or explains the basis in which they were selected and applied to an organization); <b>or</b>  <b>Research and analyze</b> treatment for routine transactions.	Record accounting entries for routine transactions.  Rollforward provisions from prior years.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Prepare/review non-routine and/ or complex journal entries — (i.e. judgement required), such as goodwill impairment, revenue accounting for multiple years, tax provision, business acquisition accounting and financial instruments, etc.</i>	<i>Prepare/review routine journal entries (i.e. low judgement required), such as working capital reserves &amp; accruals.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate 2 Example</i>	<i>Research and summarize the new accounting standards that need to be implemented and provide alternatives, with the impact on financial results and recommendations. This may include communicating the impact of these standards to others.</i>	<i>Analyze the accounting policy and impact on results through day-to-day responsibilities, monthly and quarterly close process. The accounting policy decision would be made by another group/individual.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Review the client's non-routine and/or complex journal entries (i.e. judgement required), such as equity accounting, impairment, purchase accounting, development cost and wind-ups, financial instruments, etc. Then recommend any required adjustments.</i>	<i>Analyze the client's routine journal entries (i.e. low judgement required), such as working capital reserves and accruals.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate the client's implementation of new accounting standards required including assessing alternatives, impact on financial results and recommendations for changes if required.</i>	<i>Analyze the client's accounting policies and impact on results through completion of audit/review work.</i>	
Financial report preparation (FR3)	<b>Evaluate</b> financial statements, including note disclosures.	<b>Prepare or review</b> financial statements, including note disclosures	Verify mathematical accuracy of the financial statements and note disclosures.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Corporate Example	<p>Prepare several components (such as sections of the balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement &amp; notes) of the financial statements for a complex company. For example, debt classification, interest expense, long-term debt note and accounting for debt movement in the cash flow statement.</p> <p><b>NOTE: For a smaller company, expectation would be to prepare the majority of the entity's financial statements and applicable notes.</b></p>	<p>Assist with preparing sections (such as Financial Instruments) of the financial statements for a complex company or prepare the majority of the statements for a smaller non-complex company.</p>	
Corporate 2 Example		<p>Explain components (such as sections of the balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement &amp; notes) of the financial statements for a complex company. For example, how debt is accounted for and integrated into the financial statements. Such as debt classification and interest expense; ideally includes related long-term debt note and accounting for debt movement in the cash flow statement.</p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Through audit/review process, identify possible adjustments to the financial statements including note disclosures. The focus may be on several components (such as sections of the balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement &amp; notes) of the financial statements for a complex company (for example, debt classification, interest expense, long-term debt note and accounting for debt movement in the cash flow statement), or the majority of the financial statements and applicable notes for a smaller company, for fair presentation.</i>	<i>Through audit/review process, explain possible adjustments to the financial statements including note disclosures. The focus may be on several components (such as sections of the balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement &amp; notes) of the financial statements for a complex company (for example, debt classification, interest expense, long-term debt note and accounting for debt movement in the cash flow statement), or the majority of the financial statements and applicable notes for a smaller company, for fair presentation.</i>	
Financial statement analysis (FR4)	<b>Evaluate</b> management communication (e.g., MD&A), <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> financial reporting results for stakeholders (internal or external), <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> the impact of strategic, and operational decision on financial results (external or internal).	<b>Prepare or review</b> the management communication (e.g., MD&A), <b>or</b>  <b>Prepare or review</b> financial reporting results for stakeholders (external or internal), <b>or</b>  <b>Prepare or review</b> the impact of strategic and operational decision on financial results (external or internal).	Calculate ratios and/or % changes in account balances.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Responsible for preparation and/ or interpretation of financial statement analysis (including considering interrelationships). Depending on the complexity of the business, it may be sections rather than the entire results (e.g. revenue and cost of goods sold). Also discusses the results to the external auditors or to internal management.</i>	<i>Explain the results to external auditors or internal management but the analysis itself is prepared by another group or employee.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Responsible for preparation and/ or interpretation of financial statement analysis (including considering interrelationships). Depending on the complexity of the business, it may be sections rather than the entire results (e.g., revenue and cost of goods sold). Also discuss with management to understand the results.</i>	<i>Explain the results to the client, partner or manager on the team but the analysis itself is prepared by the client.</i>	
Management Accounting			
Management reporting needs and systems (MA1)	<b>Evaluate</b> management information requirements, <b>plus</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> business processes, systems and data requirements and <b>evaluate or recommend</b> improvements to meet information needs, <b>plus</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> ethical and privacy issues related to information technology and its use.	<b>Analyze</b> management information requirements, <b>plus</b>  <b>Analyze</b> business processes, systems and data requirements or <b>analyze</b> potential improvements to meet information needs, <b>plus</b>  <b>Analyze</b> ethical and privacy issues related to information technology and its use.	Use existing information systems to generate management reports.  Recognize ethical and privacy issues related to information technology.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Analyze the information requirements for other departments and/ or senior management; <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Recommend improvements to existing systems to meet information needs. This could include new reporting packages or ways to analyze the information, suggesting modifications to the reporting system, or being part of an IT conversion; <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Analyze data integrity and confidentiality.</i>	<i>Explain the information requirements for other departments and/ or senior management; <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Analyze the different systems in the company, what the purpose is for each and how they integrate into one another (e.g. how inventory management system syncs with the reporting tool); <b>plus</b></i>  <i>Explain the importance of data integrity and confidentiality.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze the information requirements for the client; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Evaluate the types of information systems used and the role they play in the organization as part of Audit/Review procedures, which could include recommendations to improve the reports/systems; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze data integrity and confidentiality.</i></p>	<p><i>Explain the information requirements of the client; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Discuss the different systems in the company, what the purpose is for each of them and how they integrate with one another (e.g. how inventory management system syncs with the reporting tool); <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Explain the importance of data integrity and confidentiality.</i></p>	
Planning, budgeting and forecasting (MA2)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> data and information inputs (including assumptions) for operational plans, budgets and forecasts, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> operational plans, budgets, and forecasts, <b>and</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> implications of variances</p>	<p><b>Analyze or prepare data and</b> information inputs for operational plans, budgets or forecasts, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Prepare</b> operational plans, budgets, and forecasts, <b>and</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> variances</p>	Verify mathematical accuracy of plans, budgets and/or forecasts.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<p><i>Assist in evaluating inputs for the quarterly and annual budget process, ensuring it is accurate and identify any gaps in the supporting information; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Using the information supplied, prepare the financial or operational budget; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze actual results against the budget and explain the implications of the variances to management.</i></p> <p><b>NOTE: For a large company, the above would be performed for some complex sections but for a smaller company, the above would be done for the majority of the budget.</b></p>	<p><i>Prepare inputs for sections of the quarterly and annual budget; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Calculate the budget and compute the variance for a section, e.g. working capital items.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p><i>As part of the engagement, evaluate management budget process by assessing inputs for the quarterly and annual budget process, ensure it is accurate and identify any gaps in the supporting information; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze management's financial and operational budget; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze management's analysis of actual results against the budget and ensure it is reasonable. For items that require further explanation, investigate with management, including corroborating the information.</i></p>	<p><i>Analyze management's inputs for sections of the quarterly and annual budget; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Calculate the variance of actuals to budget for a section, e.g. working capital items.</i></p>	
Cost / revenue / profitability management (MA3)	<p><b>Cost management:</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> appropriate cost classifications and costing methods for management of ongoing operations, and <b>evaluate</b> cost management techniques appropriate for specific decisions, and <b>evaluate and recommend</b> either: (a) change identified by applying process improvement methodologies or (b) cost management improvements across the entity; <b>or</b></p> <p>Revenue management: evaluate sources and drivers of revenue growth; <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Profitability management:</b>  <b>evaluate</b> the sensitivity analysis, <b>evaluate</b> the sustainable profit maximization and capacity management performance.</p>	<p><b>Cost management:</b>  <b>Apply</b> the appropriate cost classifications and costing methods for management of ongoing operations, <b>apply</b> cost management techniques appropriate for specific decisions, and <b>analyze</b> potential changes identified by applying process improvement methodologies; <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Revenue management:</b>  <b>analyze or prepare</b> information to understand the sources/drivers of revenue growth; <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Profitability management:</b>  <b>prepare</b> the sensitivity analysis, and <b>analyze</b> alternatives for sustainable profit maximization/capacity management performance.</p>	Collect information to assist with cost, revenue or profitability management.



Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Explain appropriate cost classifications (variable/fixed, direct/ indirect, discretionary). Apply methods such as activity-based costing, process costing, standard costing, joint costing or job costing when appropriate to support specific costing decisions which are complex in nature, such as make vs. buy, acquisition vs. sourcing. Identify opportunities for continuous improvement for costing strategies, such as improving tracking costs or reducing waste, or improving supplier relationships.</i>	<i>Explain appropriate cost classifications (variable/fixed, direct/ indirect, discretionary). Then explain the appropriate costing methods such as activity-based costing, process costing, standard costing, joint costing or job costing. Prepare information to assist in lower in complexity/ routine costing decisions, such as make vs. buy, acquisition vs. sourcing. Lastly, analyze potential other costing strategies, such as improving tracking costs or reducing waste, or improving supplier relationships.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Evaluate the sources and drivers of revenue growth, including pricing alternatives, transfer pricing to related parties, relevant costs in relation to revenue growth and understand the competitive structure of the industry.</i>	<i>Analyze factors contributing to the revenue growth, such as price changes or competitive advantage creating increased volumes.</i>	
Organizational / individual performance measurement (MA4)	<b>Evaluate</b> root causes of performance issues through one of:  <b>Evaluate</b> the implications of management incentive schemes and employee compensation methods, <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> performance using accepted frameworks or KPIs, <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> performance of responsibility centers	<b>Analyze</b> possible root causes of performance issues, through one of:  <b>Analyze</b> the implications of management incentive schemes and employee compensation methods, <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze</b> performance using accepted frameworks or KPIs; <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze</b> performance of responsibility centers.	Calculate/prepare performance scorecard/ KPI based on information supplied by units.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Evaluate how the key performance indicators (KPIs) are designed to identity performance issues. Evaluate the actual performance level against established targets/ KPIs/balanced scorecards and explain differences. This includes investigating factors that caused variances in performance and identifying root causes of the performance issues.</i>	<i>Analyze how key performance indicators (KPIs) can be used to identity performance issues and then analyze the actual performance level against established targets/KPIs and explain differences.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Analyze the strengths and weaknesses of management's incentive plans and analyze the implications it can have on the results and performance issues, including possible fraud risk and the impact to audit/ review approach.</i>	<i>Explain the strengths and weaknesses of management's incentive plans and understand the implications it can have on the results and performance issues, including possible fraud risk.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate how the key performance indicators (KPIs) are designed to identity performance issues. As part of analytics, evaluate the actual performance level against established targets/ KPIs/balanced scorecards and explain the differences. This includes investigating factors that caused variances in performance and identifying the root cause of the performance issues.</i>	<i>Analyze how key performance indicators (KPIs) can be used to identity performance issues and then analyze the actual performance level against established targets/KPIs and explain or have management explain the differences.</i>	
<b>Audit &amp; Assurance (consider public accounting licence needs a depth in Assurance)</b>			
Internal control (AA1)	<b>Evaluate</b> the entity's risk assessment processes; <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> the information system and processes, using knowledge of data requirements and risk exposures	<b>Analyze or prepare</b> the entity's risk assessment processes; <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze</b> the information system and processes, using knowledge of data requirements and risk exposures	Execute procedures that relates to existing internal controls.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Evaluate the design and operating effectiveness of the information system, which will involve a detailed understanding of both the general and application controls (e.g. segregation of duties, access controls, processing controls, disaster recovery plan). Provide recommendations to address deficiencies and/or risks identified, as well as inefficiencies and opportunities within the process.</i>	<i>Analyze the general computer controls and application controls of the company. Also involved in testing the application controls, such as access controls.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Evaluate control activities relevant to the audit in order to assess the risk of material misstatement at the assertion level and design further audit procedures responsive to assessed risks.</i>	<i>Analyze control activities relevant to the audit in order to assess the risk of material misstatement at the assertion level and design further audit procedures responsive to assessed risks.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate the entity's information system (e.g. segregation of duties, access control, disaster recovery plan, processing controls, etc.) and related processes, and how it impacts the risk of material misstatement. This analysis is then used to provide a recommendation on the audit approach being mainly substantive or control-based.</i>	<i>Analyze the general computer controls and application controls of the company under review/ audit. Also involved in testing the application controls, such as access controls.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Internal audit or external assurance requirements, basis and risk assessment (AA2)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> an entity's assurance needs <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Basis: Evaluate</b> which set of criteria to apply to the subject matter being evaluated, or <b>evaluate</b> which standards/guidelines to apply based on the nature and expectations of the assurance engagement/project, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Risk assessment: Evaluate</b> issues related to the undertaking of the engagement or project, or <b>evaluate</b> materiality for the assurance engagement/project, or <b>evaluate</b> the risks of the project (for audit engagements, <b>evaluate</b> the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the assertion level for classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures).</p>	<p><b>Analyze</b> an entity's assurance needs <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Basis: Analyze</b> which set of criteria to apply to the subject matter being evaluated, or <b>explain</b> which standards/guidelines to apply based on the nature and expectations of the assurance engagement/project, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Risk assessment: Analyze</b> issues related to the undertaking of the engagement or project, <b>prepare and analyze</b> materiality for the assurance engagement/project, or <b>analyze</b> the risks of the project (for audit engagements, analyze the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level and at the assertion level for classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures).</p>	Assemble information about the business (external), or department (internal).
Corporate Example	<p><i>Analyze the assurance needs of the Board of Directors/Audit Committee and what criteria is used for scoping decisions; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Follow the Institute of Internal Auditors Professional Practices Framework which includes guidelines on analyzing independence, competence and objectivity for audit assignments; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Perform the risk assessment of the project and conclude on areas of concern.</i></p>	<p><i>Explain the assurance needs of the Board of Directors/Audit Committee for Internal Audit and what criteria is used for scoping decisions; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Explain the Institute of Internal Auditors Professional Practices Framework which includes guidelines on assessing independence, competence and objectivity for audit assignments; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i><b>Explain</b> the risks of the project they are doing and understand how it impacts the audit plan.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze the assurance needs of the Shareholders/Audit Committee; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze what is expected for the type of engagement in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (review, special purpose, audit, specified audit procedures, etc.); <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze risks of material misstatement at the financial statement and assertion level that could prevent engagement acceptance/continuation. Considerations may include lack of independence, risk of fraud, aggressive deadlines, and the need/availability of specialists.</i></p>	<p><i>Explain the assurance needs of the Shareholders/Audit Committee; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Explain what is expected for the type of engagement in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS); <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Explain the risk assessment which was performed by another member of the team and understand how it impacts the audit plan.</i></p>	
Internal audit projects or external assurance engagements (AA3)	<p><b>Work plan: Evaluate or develop</b> appropriate procedures, considering the use of data analytics, based on the identified risk of material misstatement, <b>evaluate</b> the work plan, evaluate the evidence and results of analysis, documents the work performed and its results, <b>plus</b></p> <p>Draw conclusions, communicate results, and contribute to a report for stakeholders using data visualization where possible.</p>	<p><b>Work plan: Perform</b> the work plan on less complex/riskier areas, analyze the evidence and results of analysis, documents the work performed and its results, <b>plus</b></p> <p>Draw conclusions, communicate results, and contribute to a report for Stakeholders, using data visualization where possible.</p>	<p>Compile planning documentation.</p> <p>Coordinate third party confirmations; identify outstanding items.</p>

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Corporate Example	<p><i>Develop or modify the audit program including determining the most efficient and effective testing procedures (i.e., Test of Controls vs. Substantive Testing and Nature/Timing &amp; Extent of procedures). This includes integrating the knowledge obtained from the risk assessment and the assurance needs of the stakeholders with audit testing procedures to achieve a sufficient amount of testing coverage; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Perform the audit program, evaluate the results and conclude on next steps for more complex areas such as the manufacturing process, financial close for financial instruments or material subjective accruals. If control testing, assess if the items found are a deficiency, significant deficiency or material weakness, including how the weakness could impact the accuracy of the results and identify any compensating controls. Must communicate the results to the manager or department being audited. Also, required to ensure the audit working paper file meets Internal Audit's documentation standards and the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.</i></p>	<p><i>Perform the work plan prepared by senior internal auditor of less complex areas, such as cash, accounts payables, selling, general &amp; administrative expenses (SG&amp;A) or low risk business unit processes (e.g. clerical focus), document the results, evaluate the evidence obtained; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Draw a conclusion to be included in the Internal Audit report or communicated to management.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p><i>Develop or modify the audit program including determining the most efficient and effective testing procedures (i.e. Test of Controls vs. Substantive Testing and Nature/Timing &amp; Extent of procedures). This includes integrating the knowledge obtained from the risk assessment and the assurance needs of the stakeholders with audit testing procedures to achieve a sufficient amount of testing coverage; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Perform the audit program and evaluate the results, and conclude on next steps for more complex areas, such as inventory, financial instruments and gross margin. If an error in the results is found, draft what the adjusting entry should be, document how it happened and whether there is a control point to communicate to Management. If control testing, assess whether the items found are a deficiency, significant deficiency or material weakness, including an evaluation of how the weakness could impact the accuracy of the results, and identify any compensating controls.</i></p>	<p><i>Perform the work plan prepared by senior auditor of less complex areas, such as cash, accounts payables, selling, general &amp; administrative expenses (SG&amp;A) or low risk business unit processes (e.g. clerical focus), document the results, evaluate the evidence obtained; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Draw a conclusion to be included in the Audit Committee report or Management closing report.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Finance			
Financial analysis & planning (FN1)	<b>Evaluate</b> the entity's financial state, considering an assessment of reporting systems, data quality and the analytical models used to support financial analysis and decision-making ; or  <b>Evaluate</b> financial proposals and financing plans considering an assessment of reporting systems, data quality and the analytical models used to support financial analysis and decision-making	<b>Analyze</b> the entity's financial state, <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze or prepare</b> financial proposals and financing plans	Perform calculations to support analysis (ratios and/or % changes in account balances).
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Perform financial analysis including a combination of ratio analysis, industry benchmarking, trend analysis, cash flow analysis, or capital structure assessment. This analysis would be used to evaluate the entity's financial state.</i>	<i>Review ratio analysis, industry benchmarking and trend analysis prepared by the business unit and analyze how it affects the entity's financial state.</i>	
<i>Corporate 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate financial proposals from the business unit. This includes validating the underlying assumptions used, evaluating the alternatives including sensitivity analysis, evaluating the risks/opportunities and when appropriate consider financing options. When the analysis is completed, provide a recommendation.</i>	<i>Analyze financial proposals from the business unit. This would include reviewing their underlying assumptions used and understanding the alternatives including the risks/opportunities. May assist with the recommendation.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Perform financial analysis as part of audit/review or consulting work. This would include a combination of ratio analysis, industry benchmarking, trend analysis, cash flow analysis or capital structure assessment. This analysis would be used to evaluate the entity's financial state.</i>	<i>Analyze financial analysis as part of audit/review or consulting work and understand how it affects the entity's financial state. This would include reviewing the client's ratio analysis, industry benchmarking, trend analysis and cash flow analysis.</i>	



Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Treasury management (FN2)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> the entity's cash flow and working capital, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the entity's investment portfolio, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> sources of financing and decisions affecting capital structure, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the entity's cost of capital, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate/review</b> decisions related to distribution of profits</p>	<p><b>Analyze</b> the entity's cash flow and working capital, <b>plus</b></p> <p><b>Analyze or research</b> the entity's investment portfolio (less complex), <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze or research</b> sources of financing and decisions affecting capital structure, <b>or</b> Analyze or research the entity's cost of capital, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze or research</b> decisions related to distribution of profits</p>	<p>Record investment/ FX transactions based on confirmations; update market values from third-party sources, if applicable.</p> <p>Reconcile differences between records and third-party statements.</p>
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze and monitor the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances. This would include ensuring the entity's needs are met; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Evaluate the entity's financial instruments (e.g. derivatives, swaps, future and forward contracts, options and convertible securities) and other investments (real estate and other businesses) including benchmarking performance and identifying risks and return of the portfolio.</i></p>	<p><i>Calculate the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Analyze the entity's investment portfolio (e.g. public equities, mutual funds, money markets and bonds) including benchmarking performance and identifying risks and return of the portfolio.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate 2 Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze and monitor the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances. This would include ensuring the entity's needs are met; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Evaluate the entity's financing needs and their available financing alternatives. The analysis would include cost, benefits and implications for future financing decisions (including tax implications). Use this analysis to provide a recommendation for the best option.</i></p>	<p><i>Calculate the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Assist with researching available financing alternatives. The analysis would include cost, benefits and implications for future financing decisions (including tax implications).</i></p>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p><i>Audit/review the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances. This would include ensuring the entity's needs are met and there is no going concern risk; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Evaluate the entity's financial instruments (e.g. derivatives, swaps, future and forward contracts, options and convertible securities) and other investments (real estate and other businesses) including benchmarking performance and identifying risks and return of the portfolio.</i></p>	<p><i>Audit/review the entity's cash flow and working capital, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory and payable balances; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Assess the entity's investment portfolio (e.g. public equities, mutual funds, money market, bonds) including benchmarking performance and identifying risks and return of the portfolio.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
Capital budgeting, valuation, corporate finance (FN3)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> financial models or business plans as part of or in addition to:</p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> capital budgeting processes and decisions, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the value of a tangible asset or analyze or estimate the value of an intangible asset, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the value of a business, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> financial risk management policies, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the use of derivatives as a form of financial risk management, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the purchase, expansion or sale of a business, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate or advise</b> a financially troubled entity.</p>	<p><b>Analyze or prepare</b> information to aid in the capital budgeting processes and related decisions, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze or estimate</b> the value of a tangible asset, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> the value of a business, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> financial risk management policies, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> the use of derivatives as a form of financial risk management, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> the purchase, expansion or sale of a business, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> possible solutions to aid a financially troubled entity.</p>	<p>Coordinate the capital budgeting process. Calculate market value of publicly-traded security.</p> <p>Calculate/collect multiples for market-based valuations.</p>
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Evaluate capital budget request using established criteria/ policies. Consider benchmarking, feasibility, return, and cost, then recommend a course of action consistent with the objectives of the company.</i>	<i>Prepare the required information to support a capital budget request, including the cost and feasibility of the request.</i>	
<i>Corporate 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate assets (including both tangible and intangible), liabilities (existing and potential), risks and opportunities of the purchase, expansion or sale of the business. Based on the analysis, recommend a suitable course of action or items to consider.</i>	<i>Analyze the work done by another department for considering a purchase, expansion or sale of the business. This also includes assisting with inputs for the analysis or requesting further back-up to understand the report.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Audit/review the value of a tangible asset or estimate of an intangible asset, using different methods such as replacement value, net realizable value, precedent transactions and/or discounted cash flow. This includes evaluating critical assumptions and concluding on a reasonable value.</i>	<i>Audit/review the value of a tangible asset by using net realizable value, for example.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Evaluate critical assumptions and facts underlying the company's valuation. This would include valuing the business using asset-based, transaction-based (discounted cash flow) or market-based methods (precedent transactions). Then conclude on a plausible range of values for the business.</i>	<i>Analyze less complex items of a purchase, expansion or sale of a business — e.g. valuing working capital accounts.</i>	
Taxation			
Income tax legislation and research (TX1)	<b>Evaluate</b> treatment for transactions/ events, <b>plus</b>  Draw conclusion and communicate results.	<b>Analyze</b> the relevant section of the Income Tax Act, tax conventions and/or treaties, as it relates to specific transactions/events.	Use Income Tax Act to assemble rates, filing deadlines and requirements.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Research treatment for nonroutine or complex tax issues. For example, scientific research and experimental development, corporate restructuring transactions (i.e. Section 85 elections, reorganization of share capital), manufacturing and processing credits, development cost and disposition of assets; plus, Draw conclusions on how it should be treated and communicate results.</i>	<i>Explain treatment for non-routine or complex tax issues, including assisting in research but not drawing the conclusion. For example, involved in scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED), corporate restructuring transactions (Section 85 elections, reorganization of share capital), manufacturing and processing credits, development cost and disposition of assets.</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Analyze the client's treatment for non-routine or complex tax issues by reviewing management's position. For example, scientific research and experimental development, Corporate restructuring transactions (i.e. Section 85 elections, reorganization of share capital), reorganization of share capital, manufacturing and processing credits, development cost and disposition of assets; <b>plus</b>,</i>  <i>Draw conclusions on how it should be treated and communicate results.</i>	<i>Explain the client's treatment for non-routine or complex tax issues by reviewing management's position. For example, involved in scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED), Corporate restructuring transactions (Section 85 elections, reorganization of share capital), manufacturing and processing credits, development cost and disposition of assets.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Research treatment for nonroutine or complex tax issues. For example, allocation of income/loss from a partnership, attribution rules, returns for a deceased individual or impact of distributions from a trust to a beneficiary; <b>plus</b>,</i>  <i>Draw conclusions on how it should be treated and communicate results.</i>	<i>Explain treatment for non-routine or complex tax issues, including assisting in research but not drawing the conclusion. For example, allocation of income/loss from a partnership, attribution rules, returns for a deceased individual or impact of distributions from trust to a beneficiary.</i>	
Tax compliance: corporate or personal (TX2)	<b>Evaluate</b> the relevant tax issues <b>plus</b>  <b>Evaluate or prepare</b> a tax return	<b>Analyze</b> the relevant tax issues <b>plus</b>  <b>Analyze or prepare</b> information to support the preparation of a tax return	Assemble information for a tax return and explains required information. Use tax software to process corporate tax returns.  Prepare schedule of due dates.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<p>Analyze general tax issues and ensure filing position is supported, such as legal form and structure, type of corporation, sources and type of income, risk tolerance &amp; impact to different stakeholders and relationships. Be sure to meet filing requirements; <b>plus</b>,</p> <p>Prepare main aspects of the tax return (i.e. fixed asset, manufacturing and processing credits, scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED) claims, Corporate restructuring sections, etc.). If applicable, analyze the notice of assessment and prepare responses to notice of objections or appeals.</p>	<p>Explains the entity's general tax issues (such as sources and type of income), including deadlines and explain how those items are treated in the tax return. Also explain filing requirements; <b>plus</b>,</p> <p>Prepare relevant sections to support the tax return.</p>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<p>Analyze general tax issues and ensure filing position is supported, such as legal form and structure, type of corporation, sources and type of income, risk tolerance &amp; impact to different stakeholders and relationships. Ensure filing requirements are met; <b>plus</b>,</p> <p>Prepare or Audit/Review main aspects of the tax return (i.e. fixed asset, manufacturing and processing credits, scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED) claims, Corporate restructuring sections). If applicable, analyze the notice of assessment and prepare responses to notice of objections or appeals.</p>	<p>Explains the entity's general tax issues (such as sources and type of income), including deadlines and explain how those items are treated in the tax return. As well, explain filing requirements; <b>plus</b>,</p> <p>Prepare or Audit/Review relevant sections to support the tax return.</p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze general tax issues such as residency, sources and types of income, dividend tax credits, personal tax credits, related persons or affiliated persons, etc. Ensure filing requirements are met; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Prepare main aspects of the tax return. If applicable, analyze the notice of assessment and prepare responses to notice of objections or appeals.</i></p>	<p><i>Explain general tax issues such as residency, sources and types of income, dividend tax credits, personal tax credits, related persons or affiliated persons etc., including deadlines and explain how those items are treated in the tax return. As well, explain filing requirements; <b>plus</b></i></p> <p><i>Prepare sections of the tax return.</i></p>	
Tax planning: corporate or personal (TX3)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> specific tax-planning opportunities for individuals or corporations, and applicable GST implications, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) income tax implications of death of an individual</li> <li>(b) compensation planning between shareholders and a corporation, or (c) purchase and sale of a corporation</li> </ul>	<p><b>Analyze</b> specific tax-planning opportunities for individuals or corporations, and applicable GST implications, such as: (a) income tax implications of death of an individual (b) compensation planning between shareholders and a corporation, or (c) purchase and sale of a corporation</p>	<p>Use information prepared internally/externally to explain changes arising from Federal or Provincial budgets.</p> <p>Use tax software to calculate pro-forma taxes payable under various tax planning opportunities.</p>
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<p><i>Analyze corporate tax planning opportunities, which could include a specific technical component such as scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED) claims, transfer pricing etc. Research different alternatives to support position and provide a recommendation including an estimate to the Manager.</i></p>	<p><i>Explain the tax consequences for routine/lower complex opportunities such as of compensation options for owner-manager (employment income versus a dividend), or taxable impact to employee(s) by them receiving certain employee benefits, such as having a company car vs. car allowance, fitness allowance, and/or computing allowance.</i></p>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate 2 Example</i>	<i>Analyze corporate tax planning opportunities relating to restructuring transactions, including optimal corporate structure (use of holding companies, creditor protection), sale or acquisition of shares vs. assets, wind-ups vs. amalgamation. Research different alternatives to support position and provide a recommendation including an estimate to the Manager.</i>	<i>Explain corporate tax planning opportunities relating to restructuring transactions, including items to consider such as optimal corporate structure (use of holding companies, creditor protection), sale or acquisition of shares vs. assets, wind-ups vs. amalgamation.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Analyze corporate tax planning opportunities, which could include a specific technical component such as scientific research and experimental development (SR&amp;ED) claims, transfer pricing, etc. Research different alternatives to support position and provide a recommendation including an estimate to the Client.</i>	<i>Explain the tax consequences for routine/lower complex opportunities such as of compensation options for owner-manager (employment income vs. a dividend), or taxable impact to employee(s) by them receiving certain employee benefits, such as having a company car vs. car allowance, fitness allowance, and/or computing allowance.</i>	
<i>Firm 2 Example</i>	<i>Analyze corporate tax planning opportunities relating to restructuring transactions, including optimal corporate structure (use of holding companies, creditor protection), sale or acquisition of shares vs. assets, wind-ups vs. amalgamation. Research different alternatives to support position and provide a recommendation including an estimate to the Client.</i>	<i>Explain corporate tax planning opportunities relating to restructuring transactions, including items to consider such as optimal corporate structure (use of holding companies, creditor protection), sale or acquisition of shares vs. assets, wind-ups vs. amalgamation).</i>	
<i>Firm 3 Example</i>	<i>Based on the facts of the client (individual and/or family) prepare estate planning which requires understanding the client's objectives. Items include income splitting and attribution rules, capital losses in estate and transfer of property to spouse or children.</i>	<i>Explain what information is required for estate planning and specific aspects such as income splitting and attribution rules, capital losses in estate and transfer of property to spouse or children.</i>	



Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm 4 Example</i>	<i>Analyze tax-planning opportunities such as income splitting, RESP, RRSP, self-employment vs. employee or incorporating vs. non-incorporated businesses.</i>	<i>Explain tax-planning opportunities such as income splitting, RESP, RRSP, self-employment vs. employee or incorporating vs. non-incorporated businesses.</i>	
<b>Strategy &amp; Governance</b>			
Governance mission, vision, values & mandate (SG1)	<b>Evaluate</b> the entity's governance policies, processes, and/ or code, and <b>evaluate</b> information and analysis to ensure entity remains compliant with regulatory/compliance requirements, <b>or</b>  <b>Evaluate</b> whether management decisions align with the entity's mission, vision and values.	<b>Analyze</b> the entity's governance policies, processes, and/or code, and prepares information to aid in the analysis to ensure entity remains compliant with regulatory/compliance requirements, <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze</b> management decisions to the entity's mission, vision and values	Describe the entity's governance policies, processes, and/or code.
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Analyze the effectiveness of the company's governance structure, including Board of Directors, Audit Committee, External Auditor and Code of Conduct, and ensure they are in compliance with other regulatory bodies (Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Canadian Securities Administrators, etc.). This would include a detailed analysis of the structure and the effectiveness of meeting their mandate and evaluating if the controls are designed to ensure compliance.</i>	<i>Explain the company's governance structure, including the mandate/role of Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Code of Conduct and prepare information to ensure they are compliant with other regulatory bodies (e.g. public companies meeting filing deadlines).</i>	

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Through entity-level control testing, understand and analyze the effectiveness of the Company's governance structure, including Board of Directors, Internal Auditor, Audit Committee, Code of Conduct and ensure they are in compliance with other regulatory bodies (Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and Canadian Securities Administrator). This would include a detailed analysis of the structure, effectiveness of meeting their mandate and evaluating if the controls are designed to ensure compliance.</i>	<i>As part of audit/review procedures explain company's governance structure, including the mandate/role of Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Code of Conduct and reviews controls to ensure they are compliant with other regulatory bodies (e.g. public companies meeting filing deadlines).</i>	
Strategy development / implementation (SG2)	<p><b>Evaluate</b> the entity's strategic objectives and related performance measures, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the entity's internal and external environment and analyze its impact on strategy development; analyze strategic alternatives, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Evaluate</b> the key operational issues including the use of information assets and analyzes alignment with strategy.</p>	<p><b>Analyze</b> the entity's strategic objectives and related performance measures, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> the entity's internal and external environment and its impact on strategy development; explain strategic alternatives, <b>or</b></p> <p><b>Analyze</b> the key operational issues including the use of information assets and explains the alignment with strategy</p>	<p>Explain the entity's strategic objectives.</p> <p>Collect information on the entity's internal and external environment.</p>

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Evaluate an external analysis, such as analyzing competitors and identifying macro forces affecting the organization (economical, social, cultural, political, competitive, technology, legal, etc.). Also evaluate the internal analysis which could include core competence, resources, internal systems and weaknesses. The key is to use this analysis and decide how it impacts the strategy and alternatives of the company.</i>	<i>Analyze an already prepared external analysis, such as competitor analysis and identifying macro forces affecting the organization (economical, social, cultural, political, competitive, legal, etc.). Also analyze the internal analysis which could include core competence, resources and internal system. The key is to explain how it impacts the strategy.</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Evaluate the client's external analysis, such as analyzing competitors and identifying macro forces affecting the organization (economical, social, cultural, political, competitive, technology, legal, etc.). Also analyze the client's internal analysis which could include core competence analysis, resources, internal systems and weaknesses. The key is to use this analysis and conclude how it impacts the company's strategy and therefore possible audit/review implications.</i>	<i>Explain the client's external analysis, such as competitor analysis and understand macro forces affecting the organization (economical, social, cultural, political, competitive, legal, etc.). Also analyze the internal analysis which could include core competence analysis, resources and internal system. The key is to understand how it impacts the company's strategy, and therefore, possible audit/review implications.</i>	
Enterprise risk management (SG3)	<b>Evaluate</b> components of an effective risk management program and <b>evaluate</b> its impact on shareholder value, <b>or</b>  Evaluate the impact of IT/IS risks on enterprise risk and recommend appropriate risk management strategies	<b>Analyze</b> or research components of a risk management program and <b>analyze</b> its impact on shareholder value, <b>or</b>  <b>Analyze</b> the impact of IT/ IS risks on enterprise risk and <b>identify</b> appropriate risk management strategies	Record risk responses.

Competency sub-area	<b>Level 2</b> High level of autonomy with Low-to-Moderate complexity (knowledge utilization); Lower level of autonomy for complex and non-routine (analysis)	<b>Level 1</b> Low-to-Moderate complexity (analysis);  Moderate complexity limited to Retrieval/Comprehension; Restricted to routine	<b>Level 0</b> Foundational (clerical / administrative)
<i>Corporate Example</i>	<i>Design the processes to identify, assess, mitigate and monitor risks of the entity. This would include advising on the entity's risk tolerance level and recommending risk management strategies (such as avoidance, transference, mitigation or acceptance). In order to recommend the strategy, shareholder value would be considered by cost vs. benefit analysis of risk approach.</i>	<i>Assist in the processes to identify, assess, mitigate and monitor risks of the entity, including analyzing how it impacts shareholder value (cost vs. benefit analysis of risk approach).</i>	
<i>Firm Example</i>	<i>Evaluates the client's processes to identify, assess, mitigate and monitor risks of the entity. This would include assessing the entities risk tolerance level and risk management strategies (such as avoidance, transference, mitigation or acceptance). This would then be used to consider impact to audit/ review strategy.</i>	<i>Would analyze the client's processes to identify, assess, mitigate and monitor risks of the entity, including explaining how it impacts shareholder value (cost vs. benefit analysis of risk approach).</i>	